The Family Planning 2020 (FP2020) movement began in 2012, when more than 150 political leaders at the London Summit on Family Planning committed to provide 120 million women in the world’s poorest countries with access to contraceptives by 2020. FP2020 aims to support the reproductive rights of women and girls and empower them to achieve their reproductive goals. This is done, in part, by ensuring FP clients across the globe are provided with the informed choice of a wide range of high quality contraceptive methods. During this meeting, the Government of the Philippines committed to providing free FP services to poor families and increasing the number of FP service providers. The Philippines has made great progress toward these goals, and the current government support for improving access to FP is encouraging. Recently, the government’s healthcare scheme – PhilHealth – was expanded to cover the cost of many sexual and reproductive health services, including no-scalpel vasectomy, and in 2012 the Responsible Parenthood and Reproductive Health Act was signed into law. This law, enacted in 2014, guarantees free contraception and reproductive health education in schools. Expanding the method mix – by increasing capacity, encouraging demand for vasectomy and creating male-friendly FP services - can increase modern CPR and help the Philippines progress towards its FP goals.

However, the current range of accessible FP methods, behavior change messaging and interpersonal communication reinforce the notion that FP services are specifically for women. Pervasive misconceptions about vasectomy constrain financial, policy and community support for the method. As a result, men are insufficiently engaged in reproductive health services and women carry the primary responsibility for using contraception.

The truth is vasectomy services provide couples seeking to limit family size with a highly effective, permanent and male-focused FP alternative without side effects.

No-scalpel vasectomy:
- Is PAINLESS, FAST, and EXTREMELY EFFECTIVE
- Requires LITTLE RECOVERY TIME,
- Has ECONOMIC AND HEALTH BENEFITS for the family,
- Has NO SIDE EFFECTS, and
- DOES NOT INTERFERE WITH SEXUAL FUNCTION OR PLEASURE.

Greater education and support for vasectomy at a national level would address the gender imbalance in contraceptive availability and use. Unlike a new female-focused method, meaningful integration of vasectomy into a method mix expands the reproductive health paradigm to include men as users of FP services and promotes couples’ fertility as a shared responsibility. This more holistic approach to reproductive health supports an informed choice of a wide range of high quality contraceptive methods.

Vasectomy is the most cost-effective and safest permanent method for meeting the needs of men and women who desire to limit future births (i.e. want no more children). Given the Philippine’s commitment to meeting unmet need for FP, now is an opportune time to invest in vasectomy services. By promoting and supporting the voluntary use of vasectomy as part of the overall method mix, the Philippines can help meet its national and international FP commitments and contribute to the development of a more gender equitable society, where men play a supportive and proactive role in their families’ health.

Greater integration of vasectomy promotion and services is a first step in expanding the reproductive health paradigm to include men not just as default partners of female clients, but as equal beneficiaries of reproductive health programs.
VAJECTOMY HELPS INDIVIDUALS AND COUPLES ACHIEVE THEIR DESIRED FAMILY SIZE

In the Philippines, 52% of married men and 55% of married women of reproductive age reported a desire to limit future births. Older men and women were far more likely to want to limit births (92% of these men and 87% of these women were at least 30 years old) though a substantial number of younger people are also interested in limiting family size.

Estimated Market Size for Permanent Methods in the Philippines, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Female Population</th>
<th>59,514,494</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Married (15 – 49 years old)</td>
<td>14,246,346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desire to Limit Future Births*</td>
<td>7,805,249</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Male Population</th>
<th>59,814,232</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Married (15 – 54 years old)</td>
<td>17,959,957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desire to Limit Future Births*</td>
<td>9,385,462</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Excludes men and women who report prior sterilization or infecundity

VAJECTOMY EXPANDS THE CHOICE FOR EFFECTIVE PERMANENT METHODS OF CONTRACEPTION

In the Philippines, 81% of married women who reported a desire to limit future births were using either short-term contraceptive methods or no method at all. Though roughly 15% of these women are already using permanent methods, nearly all are female sterilization – a more invasive sterilization procedure than vasectomy. Oral contraceptives, which have a high rate of discontinuation due to side effects and inconsistent use, represent nearly 30% of the contraceptives used by this population. Vasectomy offers a lifetime of contraceptive protection without fear of side effects.

Unmet Need for Limiting: Couples who want to limit future births and are currently using short-term or no method of contraception are an important potential market for vasectomy services.
GREATER USE OF VASECTOMY REDUCES RATES OF UNINTENDED PREGNANCIES AND OVERALL COSTS OF REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SERVICES

If the current rate of contraceptive use remains consistent, it is estimated that nearly 8 million married women (15-49) will be using some form of contraception by 2020. If a small percentage of unmet need for limiting among married couples in the Philippines were satisfied through greater uptake of vasectomy, it would have a direct and lasting impact on public health and reduce the overall costs of FP commodities and services.

55% (PDHS 2013)

VASEC TOMY IS AMONG THE MOST COST-EFFECTIVE FAMILY PLANNING METHODS

Vasectomy is less expensive per CYP than all other forms of contraceptives presently provided, with the exception of copper IUDs. In the Philippines, increased investment in vasectomy would result in greater savings per CYP in commodity and service costs of the nation’s contraceptive method mix.

Cost of Contraceptive Provision per CYP in the Philippines, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Current Cost per CYP</th>
<th>Method Mix* including 5% vasectomy CPR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copper IUD</td>
<td>$0.81</td>
<td>$1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaseectomy</td>
<td>$1.34</td>
<td>$1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sterilization</td>
<td>$1.40</td>
<td>$1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male condom</td>
<td>$3.60</td>
<td>$4.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pill</td>
<td>$5.05</td>
<td>$5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-year implant</td>
<td>$5.14</td>
<td>$5.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-month injectable</td>
<td>$5.38</td>
<td>$5.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Costs per CYP only reflect direct labor and costs of commodities and consumable supplies and does not take into account other potential costs associated with introducing vasectomy into the market, including demand creation, additional provider trainings or expansion of service coverage.

VASEC TOMY CAN CONTRIBUTE TO A LESS EXPENSIVE AND MORE EFFECTIVE METHOD MIX

For example, if by 2020, only 5% of married women (30 to 49) who desire to limit births were to switch from using short-term methods to relying on their partner’s vasectomy to achieve their fertility goals, it would improve the cost effectiveness of the method mix for this demographic by over 22%.

This marginal increase in the use of vasectomy for limiting births – equal to 401,267 vasectomies performed over the next 5 years – would improve the public health impact of the method mix by over 40%.

Original projections calculated by FHI 360 in 2016.

CUMULATIVE COST-EFFECTIVENESS AND PUBLIC HEALTH IMPACTS (2016-2020)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Current Method Mix*</th>
<th>Method Mix* including 5% vasectomy CPR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost per CYP</td>
<td>$4.21</td>
<td>$3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CYP</td>
<td>8,014,900</td>
<td>11,221,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unintended pregnancies averted</td>
<td>2,308,291</td>
<td>3,231,663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal deaths averted</td>
<td>5,101</td>
<td>7,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant deaths averted</td>
<td>53,091</td>
<td>74,328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsafe abortions averted</td>
<td>802,593</td>
<td>1,123,649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abortion case-fatality averted</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>787</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Among married women (30-49) who want to limit births
**PROGRAM RECOMMENDATIONS**

The recommendations below, adapted from a recent document review⁸,⁹, may aid policymakers and program implementers in the Philippines to increase demand for and supply of vasectomy services and to create a greater enabling environment for a male-inclusive FP agenda.

---

**CREATE AN ENABLING ENVIRONMENT:**

- Establish supportive policies and political support for male-inclusion and develop a Costed Implementation Plan for FP which includes continued expansion of vasectomy services and demand creation activities.
- Address gender-related norms that may negatively impact FP decision-making, by empowering women and couples to talk openly about their reproductive intentions and consider vasectomy as a desirable way to ensure the family’s health and well-being.
- To close the gap between FP commitments and available financial support, donors and the government should invest in the most cost-effective method mix to address the changing needs of men, women and couples over their reproductive lives.
- Include vasectomy in sexual and reproductive health education for youth, particularly young men, to begin early sensitization on vasectomy as a viable form of limiting births in the future.
- Create “male-friendly” reproductive health services. For example, train male health workers to counsel men about their FP options.

**INCREASE SUPPLY OF VASECTOMY SERVICES:**

- Focus on public-sector capacity building in locations with available teaching medical facilities, existing private-sector vasectomy services and ample demand to sustain skill development.
- Address negative provider biases and attitudes about providing vasectomy services through education and provider testimonials, and ensure providers receive adequate compensation for services.
- Build capacity in public hospitals and health centers to offer no-scalpel vasectomy with use of fascial interposition and thermal cautery as part of their existing services.
- Invest in sustainable vasectomy skill development by training certified public-sector vasectomy providers as vasectomy trainers.

**ENCOURAGE DEMAND FOR VASECTOMY:**

- Engage and support vasectomy “champions” among religious, political, and community leaders, health providers, Volunteer Health Workers and satisfied vasectomy clients.
- Disseminate accurate information about vasectomy, particularly in regard to method safety and its effect on virility and physical strength.
- More widely promote the fact that PhilHealth covers the cost of no-scalpel vasectomy and provide a publicly available national registry of accredited no-scalpel vasectomy service providers.
- Build on the relatively high prevalence of female sterilization, and educate men and women on the advantages of vasectomy compared to tubal ligation.

---


---

Adapted from EngenderHealth's Supply-Enabling Environment-Demand (SEED) Programming Model™
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A family in Duero, Philippines.
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A father helps his daughter with her homework in Manila, Philippines.
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